

Success of Minimally Invasive Pectus Excavatum Procedures (Modified Nuss) in Adult Patients (≥30 Years)

Dawn E. Jaroszewski, MD, MennatAllah M. Ewais, MD, Chieh-Ju Chao, MD, Michael B. Gotway, MD, Jesse J. Lackey, CSFA, CST, Kelly M. Myers, BS, Marianne V. Merritt, BS, Stephanie M. Sims, MD, Lisa E. McMahon, MD, and David M. Notrica, MD

Division of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, Mayo Clinic Hospital, Phoenix; Division of Cardiovascular Diseases, Mayo Clinic Hospital, Phoenix; and Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona

Background. Minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum (MIRPE) has become standard for pediatric and young adult patients, but its use for older adults is controversial.

Methods. We retrospectively reviewed electronic medical records of adults (≥18 years of age) who underwent MIRPE from January 1, 2010, through April 30, 2015, and collected demographic data, operative details, and information about outcomes. Cardiac function was measured before and after repair by intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography. We divided patients by age: 18 to 29 years of age and 30 years of age and older.

Results. Of 361 patients, 207 were 30 or older (mean, 40 years; range, 30 to 72 years; 71.5% men). Of the older patients, 151 had primary repairs. MIRPE was successfully used in 88.7% of patients older than 30 years of age versus 96.5% of those 18 to 29 years of age. For patients 30 years of age and older, open-cartilage resection, sternal

Pectus excavatum (PE) is a posterior depression of the sternum and adjacent costal cartilages accounting for more than 90% of congenital chest wall deformities [1]. The cardiopulmonary consequences have been debated; however, most recent publications support repair of PE in patients with substantial symptoms [2–6]. Symptoms may worsen as a patient ages but resolve after the defect is repaired [4, 6–8]. With increased Internet-based social media and information sources, symptomatic adult patients with PE are learning of options for repair and seeking out surgeons for evaluation and potential correction of the defect.

Minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum (MIRPE), or the modified Nuss, has become standard of care for surgical repair of PE in children [9, 10]. Initial attempts with MIRPE for adults resulted in higher complication rates, causing some surgeons to recommend

osteotomy, or both was more common with increasing age (mean, 47.8 years versus 39.5 years; p = 0.0003) and higher mean Haller index (7.7 versus 5.5; p = 0.0254). Mean operative time for MIRPE was significantly longer for older patients (\geq 30 years of age) compared with younger adults (121 [60 to 224] minutes versus 111 [62 to 178] minutes; p = 0.0154). Right ventricular output increased 65.2% after repair in older adults. Although greater, the frequency of bar rotation requiring reoperation was not significantly increased in the older patients (p = 0.74).

Conclusions. The majority of adult patients with PE can have successful repair with modified MIRPE. The use of cartilage or sternal osteotomy, or both, increased with patient age and defect severity.

(Ann Thorac Surg 2016;102:993–1003) © 2016 by The Society of Thoracic Surgeons

limiting the procedure to pediatric and younger adults [11, 12]. With age, the chest wall becomes more rigid, which makes elevating the sternum and supporting the repair with substernal bars more complicated [13, 14]. To determine whether our data supported efficacy in older patients, we reviewed our MIRPE experience in adults and compared results for patients 18 to 29 years of age and 30 years of age and older.

Material and Methods

The Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approved this retrospective study, which included adult patients (18 years of age and older) who underwent PE repair from January 1, 2010, to April 30, 2015, with follow-up through December 31, 2015. Electronic medical records of 361 patients were reviewed to obtain data from the

Dr Notrica discloses a financial relationship with Zimmer Biomet.

Accepted for publication March 31, 2016.

Address correspondence to Dr Jaroszewski, Division of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, Mayo Clinic Hospital, 5777 E Mayo Blvd, Phoenix, AZ 85054; email: jaroszewski.dawn@mayo.edu.

Table 1.	Techniq	ue Modifi	cations f	or Mi	nimally	Invasive
Repair of	f Pectus	Excavatun	1 in Adu	lts	-	

Us	e forced	sterna	al elevation	to red	uce	e tl	he def	ect b	₽ef	ore	e
dissection and bar placement.											
DI	1	1		. 1				.1	1	~	

Place multiple pectus support bars to balance the defect. Use shorter pectus support bars without stabilizers.

Reinforce interspaces with FiberWire when intercostal muscle stripping is a risk.

Use FiberWire for multipoint fixation bilaterally.

preoperative evaluation, hospital course, and follow-up period. Only cases of primary repair were analyzed because of the complexity and heterogeneous nature of revisions. Patients with previous sternotomy were also excluded. Our patients were separated into cohorts by age (18 to 29 years of age and \geq 30 years of age) for further evaluation and comparison.

Patient Evaluations

All adult patients underwent evaluations, including a physical examination, echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET), electrocardiography, and axial chest imaging (computerized tomography or magnetic resonance imaging). When available, expiratory imaging views were used to calculate the maximum Haller index and correction and compression indexes. Haller index was calculated by dividing the maximum internal chest width

ericardium

Pectus excavatum defect

by the distance between the posterior sternum and anterior vertebral body [15]. Correction index was calculated by measuring the distance between the expected position of the corrected sternum and anterior aspect of the vertebra on imaging. This number was subtracted from the distance to the sternum at the site of deepest depression, divided by the first measurement, and then multiplied by 100 to find the percentage of potential correction [16]. Cardiac compression index was calculated by dividing the transverse cardiac diameter by the minimum anteroposterior cardiac diameter [17]. When the following criteria were met, patients were considered for surgical correction: Haller index of 3.2 or greater, correction index of 20% or greater, cardiac compression, cardiopulmonary deficits, substantial or progressing cardiopulmonary symptoms, and psychosocial effects [4].

Statistical Analyses

Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc, San Diego, CA) was used for statistical analysis. All values were given as mean \pm SD or mean (range). Unpaired, 2-tailed Student *t* tests were used to compare the 2 groups in the subgroup analysis, and paired, 2-tailed *t* tests were used for preoperative and postoperative comparisons. Complication frequency between different subgroups was analyzed by Ficher exact test and expressed as an odds ratio with 95% confidence interval; *p* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Diaphragm C
Reduced pectus defect
Pericardium
Pericar

B

Fig 1. (A) Thoracoscopic view of the mediastinum showing a severe defect with cardiac compression. (B) Forced sternal elevation was performed with a sternal bone clamp attached to a bedside Rultract retractor. (C) Thoracoscopic view of the mediastinum and excavatum defect after sternal elevation.



GENERAL THORACIC

Surgical Procedure

A MIRPE with technique modifications (Table 1) was attempted in all patients. Conversion to open hybrid resection was done only when the anterior chest deformity could not be fully elevated.

MIRPE Technique

The patient was positioned supine, with longitudinal gel rolls placed parallel to the spine and arms tucked at sides. Patients received preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis with intravenous cefazolin. A different medication was substituted for patients allergic to cefazolin. A doublelumen endotracheal tube was placed after general anesthesia induction. A transesophageal echocardiographic probe was placed to evaluate cardiac compression, function, and anatomy both preoperatively and postoperatively.

Bilateral, 3-cm incisions were made at the pectoral borders. Submuscular pockets were developed, and a

Fig 3. (A) Hybrid approach for excavatum repair. (B) Chest radiograph with 3 pectus support bars and anterior fixation plates. (Panel A used with permission of Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research.)



thoracoscopic port placed through the right incision. After carbon dioxide insufflation was begun, a second, a 5-mm port was placed for the camera on the right side, superior to the diaphragm. Forced sternal elevation was attempted, and if successful, MIRPE was done. At the center of the defect, a bone clamp (Lewin Perforating Forceps [V. Mueller NL6960], CareFusion, Inc, San Diego, CA) was placed into the anterior table of the sternum and attached to a Rultract retractor (Rultract Inc, Cleveland, OH) on the left side; the sternum was then elevated (Fig 1) [18].

The Lorenz dissector (Zimmer Biomet, Jacksonville, FL) was introduced into the interspace at the superior aspect of the defect through the right interspace and brought out through the contralateral interspace. A #5 FiberWire (Arthrex, Inc, Naples, FL) was attached to the dissector end and used as a guide for bar placement. Bars were custom bent and sized to extend 2 to 3 cm beyond the anterior axillary line. A second bar was placed 1 or 2 interspaces below the superior bar. If an inferior residual defect

persisted, a third bar was placed (Fig 2A). Bars were rotated into place with the sternum still elevated to minimize intercostal rotational forces. If lateral stripping of the intercostal muscle occurred in the interspace of the bar, figure-of-eight FiberWire, incorporating the rib above and below, was used to reinforce the interspace and prevent later bar displacement (Fig 2B). FiberWire was used for bilateral circumferential fixation of the bars [19] in at least 3 sites, with additional medial fixation positioned closer to the rotational fulcrum at the bar's entrance into the chest (Fig 2C).

Technique for Failure to Elevate the Sternum

If the defect failed to elevate with forced sternal elevation, a hybrid approach was used. A limited midline incision was made over the affected sternum. The pectoral and rectus muscles were elevated, and a limited cartilage resection was made to release fixed sites until the defect was elevated. Sternal osteotomy was performed only if elevation was unable to be achieved otherwise (Fig 3A) [20, 21]. The support bars were then placed and secured thoracoscopically as described previously for MIRPE [19]. Approximation and stabilization of resected cartilage to the sternum and sternal osteotomy sites were done by using FiberWire or titanium plating (Fig 3B).

Postoperative Care

Pain was controlled for all patients by our protocol, which was initiated in 2011 (Fig 4). Local anesthetic delivery was provided by thoracic epidural or pain catheters. When the procedure was completed, the surgeon placed 7.5-inch soaker catheters (PM050-A, On-Q, Halyard Health, Inc, Irvine, CA) anterior along the ribs, using a disposable, 17-gauge, 10-inch tunneling system (Model T17X10, On-Q, Halyard Health, Inc) (Fig 5). The catheters were primed

and attached to a 750-mL reservoir. Variable rate controllers (Select-A-Flow, Halyard Health, Inc) were locked at a rate of 7 mL/h, infusing ropivacaine, 0.2%. The reservoir was refilled at 48 h for 5 days maximum. Patients were discharged with the On-Q in place unless removal was preferred. Patients who were medically stable were discharged home with oral pain medications if they did not have significant cognitive or respiratory adverse effects and had a pain score of 4 or less on oral pain medications for 24 h.

Results

During the study period, 361 adult patients (\geq 18 years of age) underwent PE repair; 207 (57.3%) were at least



Fig 4. Algorithm for pain management after pectus repair.



Fig 5. On-Q catheters tunneled lateral to surgical site.

30 years of age. Of the total, 95 patients who had revisions were excluded from analysis (18 to 29 years of age: 39; \geq 30 years of age: 56). Therefore, 266 patients with primary repairs were entered in the study (18 to 29 years of age: 115 [43.2%]; \geq 30 years of age: 151 [56.8%]). Demographic characteristics of the 2 groups (18 to 29 years of age and \geq 30 years of age) are compared in Table 2.

Of the 266 patients, 96.5% of those 18 to 29 years of age and 88.7% of those 30 years of age or older had successful PE corrections with MIRPE (Table 3). We then compared hybrid open procedures and MIRPE in a subset of the 30 years of age and over cohort. We found that open-cartilage resection, sternal osteotomy, or both were used more often for older patients requiring open procedures (age, 47.8 \pm 13.1 years of age versus 39.5 \pm 7.8 years of

age; p = 0.0003) with higher mean Haller index (7.7 ± 5.6 versus 5.5 ± 3.2; p = 0.0254) and higher mean correction indexes (57.9% ± 18.8% versus 42.9% ± 15.6%; p = 0.047).

All patients underwent transesophageal echocardiography; however, only 101 patients older than 30 had complete preoperative and postoperative images adequate for review [22]. Right-sided heart dimensions (mean \pm SD) were significantly improved after PE repair: right atrial size (3.4 \pm 0.8 cm versus 4.0 \pm 0.6 cm; p < 0.0001), end-systolic dimensions of the tricuspid annulus (2.6 \pm 0.5 cm versus 2.8 \pm 0.6 cm; p = 0.0002), end-diastolic dimensions of the right ventricular outflow tract (2.3 \pm 0.4 cm versus 2.4 \pm 0.4 cm; p = 0.0002), and systolic dimensions (1.6 \pm 0.4 cm versus 1.8 \pm 0.6 cm; p = 0.0155). Right ventricular output increased by 65.2% after repair (3.2 \pm 1.0 L/min to 5.3 \pm 1.5 L/min; p = 0.0015) (Fig 6).

Pneumonia, urinary tract infections, ileus, and hospital readmissions were significantly higher in the 30 years of age and older cohort (Table 3). Nine of these patients had to be readmitted within 30 days of discharge (pneumothorax, 1 patient; wound infection, 2 patients; ileus/ nausea/vomiting, 1 patient; pleural effusion, 2 patients; and uncontrolled pain, 3 patients). A greater frequency of bar rotation occurred in the older patients, but it did not reach statistical significance (6.6% versus 1.7%; odds ratio, 4.0; 95% confidence interval, 0.86 to 18.67; p = 0.07). Hospital stay continued to decrease throughout the period reviewed but was significantly different between groups only for MIRPE between 2010 and 2012 (p = 0.0009).

Patients 30 and older in the MIRPE cohort were followed up for a mean (range) of 843.2 days (range, 4 to

Table 2. Patient Characteristics

Characteristic	Primary Repair Cohort, 30–72 Years of Age ($n = 151$)	Primary Repair Cohort, 18–29 Years of Age (n = 115)		
Age, years	40.4 (30–72)	23.7 (18–29)		
Men	108 (71.5)	88 (76.5)		
Haller index	5.8 (2.5–24.9)	5.6 (2.5–26.7)		
Correction index (%)	44.3 (20.2-85.4)	39.3 (21.6-80.6)		
Cardiac compression index (%)	3 (1.4–10.0)	2.7 (1.6–4.9)		
Prior cosmetic implants	20 (13.2)	3 (2.6)		
Symptoms				
Dyspnea on exertion	142 (94.0)	115 (100)		
Chest pain/pressure	106 (70.2)	79 (68.7)		
Palpitations	111 (73.5)	79 (68.7)		
Gastric fullness or reflux symptoms	29 (19.2)	8 (7.0)		
Anxiety	26 (17.2)	23 (20.0)		
Depression	13 (8.6)	9 (7.8)		
Asthma/cough	33 (21.9)	26 (22.6)		
Difficulty keeping up with peers	122 (80.8)	106 (92.2)		
Cardiac compression (by echocardiography or other imaging)	116 (76.8)	92 (80.0)		
Abnormal CPET with \dot{V}_{O_2} evaluation	68/102 evaluated (66.7)	61/79 evaluated (77.2)		

Values are mean (range), n (%), or n/n (%).

CPET = cardiopulmonary exercise testing; $Vo_2 = oxygen consumption.$

Table 3. Outcomes

Variable	Primary Repair Cohort, 30–72 Years of Age (n = 151)	Primary Repair Cohort, 18–29 Years of Age (n – 115)	n Value	
	(II = 151)	(11 – 115)	<i>p</i> value	
Successful MIRPE	134 (88.7)	111 (96.5)	0.0136	
Use of forced sternal elevation by year				
2010–2011	4/38 (10.5)	0/14 (0)		
2012–2013	49/67 (73.1)	41/58 (70.7)		
2014–2015	46/46 (100)	42/43 (97.7)		
Support bars				
1	0 (0)	1 (0.9)		
2	83 (55.0)	65 (56.5)		
3	67 (44.4)	49 (42.6)		
4	1 (0.7)	0 (0)		
Implant removal, exchange, or placement	17 (11.3)	5 (4.3)	0.0457	
Operative time, min				
MIRPE	121 (60–224) ^b	111 (62–178) ^a	0.0154	
Hybrid procedure	231.1 (106–390)	247.5 (138–395)	0.8523	
Local anesthetic pain control				
On-Q catheters	89 (58.9)	71 (61.7)	0.7049	
Thoracic epidural catheter	62 (41.1)	44 (38.3)		
Estimated blood loss, mL				
MIRPE	57 (5–550)	47.6 (5–500)	0.1214	
Hybrid procedure	359 (15-1000)	325 (100-800)	0.7091	
Postoperative morbidity				
Bar rotation	10 (6.6)	2 (1.7)	0.0744	
Infection	2 (1.3), both hybrid	1 (0.9)	1.0000	
Pneumonia	6 (4.0), 1 hybrid	0 (0)	0.0381	
Ileus/severe constipation	13 (8.6), 2 hybrid	1 (0.9)	0.0046	
Readmission	9 (6.0), 3 hybrid	0 (0)	0.0059	
Pleural effusion (and thoracentesis)	9 (6.0), 3 hybrid	3 (2.6)	0.2428	
Pneumothorax (and chest tube)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.9)	1.0000	
Pulmonary embolism	2 (1.3), 1 hybrid	0 (0)	0.5073	
Urinary tract infection	6 (4.0), 1 hybrid	0 (0)	0.0381	
Urinary retention (and catheterization)	13 (8.6), 1 hybrid	9 (7.8)	1.0000	
Bleeding requiring transfusion	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	1.0000	
Reoperation for bleeding	$1 (0.7)^{d}$	$2(1.7)^{\circ}$	0.5801	
Hospital length of stay by procedure and year days	1 (011)	- ()	0.0001	
MIRPE				
2010–2012	5.0 (2–11)	40(2-6)	0 0009	
2013-2015	3.3 (2-6)	31 (2-6)	0 2246	
Hybrid	0.0 (2 0)	0.1 (2 0)	0.2210	
2010-2012	5.6 (3-8)	5.0 (5-5)	N/A	
2010 2012	5.6 (3-11)	6 5 (6-7)	0 7206	
2010 2010	0.0 (0-11)	0.0 (0-7)	0.7200	

^a Five minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum (MIRPE) patients had time for implant or other cosmetic procedures excluded in operative times. ^b Fourteen patients who had MIRPE and 3 patients who had hybrid procedures had time for implant or other cosmetic procedures excluded in operative times. ^c Hemothorax evacuation, 1 patient; hematoma after breast augmentation, 1 patient. ^d Hematoma at site of implant removal.

Values are n (%), n/n (%), or mean (range).

N/A = not applicable.

2088 days), and 29 patients had bars removed (mean follow-up, 250.7 days; range, 1 to 687 days). No patients reported substantial symptom recurrence, although 1 patient reported some regression of the depression after bar removal.

Comment

As patients grow older, their PE symptoms may worsen [2, 4, 7, 23]. Kragten and colleagues [4] noted nearly half of their older patients' symptoms developed in their 30s to 40s. Decreased chest wall flexibility may be one reason for

Fig 6. Right-sided heart di-

ative and postoperative

phy (patients \geq 30 *years).*



this symptom progression. When PE is corrected, symptoms may be substantially reduced or resolved [4, 6–8, 23]. Correlations between physiologic impact and symptoms in adults on CPET and by echocardiographic findings have been reported [3, 5, 6, 24-26]. Neviere and colleagues [3] also reported improved exercise function 1 year after operation in adults after PE repair. Significant increases in end-diastolic and right-sided heart



Fig 7. (A) A 58-year-old man before (left) and after (right) minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum (MIRPE) with 2 bars (radiograph, bottom right). Computerized tomographic scan (bottom left) shows severe pectus excavatum (PE); Haller index, 5.7. (B) A 72-year-old man before (left) and after (right) MIRPE with 2 bars (radiograph, bottom right). Computerized tomographic scan (bottom left) shows severe PE; Haller index, 5.49. (C) A 38-year-old woman with prior breast implantation before (left) and after (right) MIRPE with 2 bars (radiograph, bottom right) and implant exchange. Computerized tomographic scan (bottom left) shows severe PE; Haller index 16.



dimensions, biventricular ejection fraction, and right ventricular cardiac output have also been reported [22, 27, 28]. Our older patients (\geq 30 years) had a 65.2% intraoperative increase in right ventricular output on transesophageal echocardiography; however, only a small percentage have had postoperative CPET to document improved postoperative exercise function.

Many surgeons continue to advocate open procedures for adults undergoing PE repair despite reports of successful MIRPE in older patients [7, 29]. Their concerns include increased difficulty of repair and potential for higher postoperative pain and complication rates [30, 31]. We agree that repairs in older adults may be more complicated, and, therefore, technique modifications may be necessary for success [32–34]. In our experience, a higher percentage of older patients required osteotomy or cartilage resection (11.3% versus 3.5%); however, most defects were safely and successfully repaired with a modified MIRPE approach (Fig 7). Modifications, including use of forced sternal elevation, may help decrease the force required to insert and rotate bars [18]. This may lessen but not eliminate lateral stripping of the intercostal muscles of the more rigid chest wall. Using figure-of-eight Fiber-Wire sutures to reinforce around the surrounding intercostal ribs provided bar stabilization and can prevent lateral-posterior migration when stripping occurs [19, 34]. Others have also reported using medial fixation and stabilizer placement to prevent stripping [33–35]. Multiple bars distribute pressure over a more rigid chest wall and may also help decrease the risk of bar rotation and malposition. In over 40% of our adult patients, 3 bars were required for complete correction. Others have reported decreased risk of migration and reoperation when multiple bars were inserted [18, 34-36]. In a study of PE repair in 44 late adolescent and adult patients, 11.5% of those with single-bar repairs required reoperation for bar rotation or incomplete correction compared with none of those who had a double-bar repair [37]. Although not found to be significant, bar migration did occur in more patients in our study's older group. This risk should be explained to patients in preoperative counseling about surgical alternatives.

For adults, support bars are recommended to remain for 3 years minimum. For children, the recommended interval has increased to 3 years, substantially decreasing recurrences [9]. For adults, recurrence rates as low as 2% to 5% have been reported for MIRPE; however, the numbers of patients and follow-up are limited [32, 35, 37–40]. Our study was limited by its retrospective nature. However, our purpose was to describe technique modifications that might allow PE repair in older adults and compare these results to those of our younger adults. Technique modifications allowed MIRPE to be used successfully in most of our older patients with few complications. Open osteotomy or chondroplasty may still be required to achieve repair in some adult patients.

Ann Thorac Surg 2016;102:993-1003

References

- Fokin AA, Steuerwald NM, Ahrens WA, Allen KE. Anatomical, histologic, and genetic characteristics of congenital chest wall deformities. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009;21:44–57.
- 2. Jaroszewski D, Notrica D, McMahon L, Steidley DE, Deschamps C. Current management of pectus excavatum: a review and update of therapy and treatment recommendations. J Am Board Fam Med 2010;23:230–9.
- **3.** Neviere R, Montaigne D, Benhamed L, et al. Cardiopulmonary response following surgical repair of pectus excavatum in adult patients. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40:e77–82.
- 4. Kragten HA, Siebenga J, Hoppener PF, Verburg R, Visker N. Symptomatic pectus excavatum in seniors (SPES): a cardiovascular problem? : A prospective cardiological study of 42 senior patients with a symptomatic pectus excavatum. Neth Heart J 2011;19:73–8.
- 5. O'Keefe J, Byrne R, Montgomery M, Harder J, Roberts D, Sigalet DL. Longer term effects of closed repair of pectus excavatum on cardiopulmonary status. J Pediatr Surg 2013;48:1049–54.
- **6.** Jaroszewski D, Steidley E, Galindo A, Arabia F. Treating heart failure and dyspnea in a 78-year-old man with surgical correction of pectus excavatum. Ann Thorac Surg 2009;88: 1008–10.
- Jaroszewski DE, Fonkalsrud EW. Repair of pectus chest deformities in 320 adult patients: 21 year experience. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;84:429–33.
- **8.** Krasopoulos G, Dusmet M, Ladas G, Goldstraw P. Nuss procedure improves the quality of life in young male adults with pectus excavatum deformity. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2006;29:1–5.
- **9.** Kelly RE, Goretsky MJ, Obermeyer R, et al. Twenty-one years of experience with minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum by the Nuss procedure in 1215 patients. Ann Surg 2010;252:1072–81.
- Croitoru DP, Kelly RE Jr, Goretsky MJ, Lawson ML, Swoveland B, Nuss D. Experience and modification update for the minimally invasive Nuss technique for pectus excavatum repair in 303 patients. J Pediatr Surg 2002;37: 437-45.
- Esteves E, Paiva KC, Calcagno-Silva M, Chagas CC, Barbosa-Filho H. Treatment of pectus excavatum in patients over 20 years of age. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2011;21:93–6.
- Kim M, Lee KY, Park HJ, et al. Development of new cardiac deformity indexes for pectus excavatum on computed tomography: feasibility for pre- and post-operative evaluation. Yonsei Med J 2009;50:385–90.
- **13.** Kim do H, Hwang JJ, Lee MK, Lee DY, Paik HC. Analysis of the Nuss procedure for pectus excavatum in different age groups. Ann Thorac Surg 2005;80:1073–7.
- 14. Weber PG, Huemmer HP, Reingruber B. Forces to be overcome in correction of pectus excavatum. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006;132:1369–73.
- **15.** Haller JA Jr, Kramer SS, Lietman SA. Use of CT scans in selection of patients for pectus excavatum surgery: a preliminary report. J Pediatr Surg 1987;22:904–6.
- 16. Poston PM, McHugh MA, Rossi NO, Patel SS, Rajput M, Turek JW. The case for using the correction index obtained from chest radiography for evaluation of pectus excavatum. J Pediatr Surg 2015.
- **17.** Albertal M, Vallejos J, Bellia G, et al. Changes in chest compression indexes with breathing underestimate surgical candidacy in patients with pectus excavatum: a computed tomography pilot study. J Pediatr Surg 2013;48:2011–6.
- **18.** Jaroszewski DE, Johnson K, McMahon L, Notrica D. Sternal elevation before passing bars: a technique for improving visualization and facilitating minimally invasive pectus excavatum repair in adult patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:1093–5.

- **19.** McMahon LE, Johnson KN, Jaroszewski DE, et al. Experience with FiberWire for pectus bar attachment. J Pediatr Surg 2014;49:1259–63.
- 20. Fonkalsrud EW. 912 open pectus excavatum repairs: changing trends, lessons learned: one surgeon's experience. World J Surg 2009;33:180–90.
- **21.** Al-Assiri A, Kravarusic D, Wong V, Dicken B, Milbrandt K, Sigalet DL. Operative innovation to the "Nuss" procedure for pectus excavatum: operative and functional effects. J Pediatr Surg 2009;44:888–92.
- 22. Chao CJ, Jaroszewski DE, Kumar PN, et al. Surgical repair of pectus excavatum relieves right heart chamber compression and improves cardiac output in adult patients-an intraoperative transesophageal echocardiographic study. Am J Surg 2015;210:1118–25.
- 23. Coln E, Carrasco J, Coln D. Demonstrating relief of cardiac compression with the Nuss minimally invasive repair for pectus excavatum. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41:683–6; discussion 683–6.
- 24. Malek MH, Fonkalsrud EW, Cooper CB. Ventilatory and cardiovascular responses to exercise in patients with pectus excavatum. Chest 2003;124:870–82.
- Malek MH, Berger DE, Housh TJ, Marelich WD, Coburn JW, Beck TW. Cardiovascular function following surgical repair of pectus excavatum: a metaanalysis. Chest 2006;130:506–16.
- Sigalet DL, Montgomery M, Harder J, Wong V, Kravarusic D, Alassiri A. Long term cardiopulmonary effects of closed repair of pectus excavatum. Pediatr Surg Int 2007;23:493–7.
- Tang M, Nielsen HH, Lesbo M, et al. Improved cardiopulmonary exercise function after modified Nuss operation for pectus excavatum. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012;41:1063–7.
- 28. Krueger T, Chassot PG, Christodoulou M, Cheng C, Ris HB, Magnusson L. Cardiac function assessed by transesophageal echocardiography during pectus excavatum repair. Ann Thorac Surg 2010;89:240–3.
- **29.** Johnson WR, Fedor D, Singhal S. Systematic review of surgical treatment techniques for adult and pediatric patients with pectus excavatum. J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;9:25.

- **30.** Molik KA, Engum SA, Rescorla FJ, West KW, Scherer LR, Grosfeld JL. Pectus excavatum repair: experience with standard and minimal invasive techniques. J Pediatr Surg 2001;36:324–8.
- **31.** Papic JC, Finnell SM, Howenstein AM, Breckler F, Leys CM. Postoperative opioid analgesic use after Nuss versus Ravitch pectus excavatum repair. J Pediatr Surg 2014;49:919–23; discussion 23.
- **32.** Hebra A, Jacobs JP, Feliz A, Arenas J, Moore CB, Larson S. Minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum in adult patients. Am Surg 2006;72:837–42.
- **33**. Pilegaard HK. Extending the use of Nuss procedure in patients older than 30 years. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40: 334–7.
- Park HJ, Lee SY, Lee CS, Youm W, Lee KR. The Nuss procedure for pectus excavatum: evolution of techniques and early results on 322 patients. Ann Thorac Surg 2004;77: 289–95.
- 35. Pilegaard HK, Licht PB. Routine use of minimally invasive surgery for pectus excavatum in adults. Ann Thorac Surg 2008;86:952–6.
- 36. Stanfill AB, DiSomma N, Henriques SM, Wallace LJ, Vegunta RK, Pearl RH. Nuss procedure: decrease in bar movement requiring reoperation with primary placement of two bars. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2012;22:412–5.
- 37. Yoon YS, Kim HK, Choi YS, Kim K, Shim YM, Kim J. A modified Nuss procedure for late adolescent and adult pectus excavatum. World J Surg 2010;34:1475–80.
- Olbrecht VA, Arnold MA, Nabaweesi R, et al. Lorenz bar repair of pectus excavatum in the adult population: should it be done? Ann Thorac Surg 2008;86:402–8; discussion 408–9.
- Croitoru DP, Kelly RE Jr, Goretsky MJ, Gustin T, Keever R, Nuss D. The minimally invasive Nuss technique for recurrent or failed pectus excavatum repair in 50 patients. J Pediatr Surg 2005;40:181–6; discussion 186–7.
- **40.** Schalamon J, Pokall S, Windhaber J, Hoellwarth ME. Minimally invasive correction of pectus excavatum in adult patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006;132:524–9.